

Serial No. 10/775,779

REMARKS

As suggested, the applicant has engaged the undersigned patent attorney in connection with filing the present amendatory paper.

The ABSTRACT was objected to "because it must be a single paragraph" and "because it refers to the purported merits of the invention. A corrected ABSTRACT is enclosed which no longer refers to "the purported merits of the invention".


Claim Rejections - 35 U.S.C. §112

Claims 1 and 2 were rejected as failing to define the invention in the manner required by 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph.

Claims 1 and 2 have been cancelled herein.

New claims 3 through 15 have been enclosed. These claims are believed to be in statutory form.

Respectfully submitted,



Robert C. Smith, Reg. No. 17,500
LAW OFFICES OF JOHN E. WAGNER
3541 Ocean View Boulevard
Glendale, CA 91208
(818) 957-3340

RCS:mm

I:\Patent\Sapozhnikov\04.AMENDMENT A